

**ANNE BOWEN POULIN**

**Villanova University School of Law  
Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085  
(610) 519-7000**

**EDUCATION**

**University of Michigan Law School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, LL.M.**

**University of Maine School of Law, Portland, Maine, J.D.**

**Harvard University (Radcliffe College), Cambridge, Massachusetts, A.B.**

**WORK EXPERIENCE**

<b>August 1981 to present</b>	<b>Villanova University School of Law Villanova, Pennsylvania Professor 1984 - present Associate Professor 1981 - 1984 Courses: Evidence, Criminal Procedure, Trial Advocacy</b>
<b>August 1979 to June 1981</b>	<b>Illinois Institute of Technology/Chicago- Kent College of Law Chicago, Illinois Assistant Professor</b>
<b>August 1975 to August 1979</b>	<b>United States Attorney's Office Chicago, Illinois Assistant United States Attorney (From October 1978 to August 1979, Deputy Chief of Criminal Receiving and Appellate Division)</b>
<b>August 1973 to April 1974</b>	<b>Wayne State University Law School Detroit, Michigan Instructor of Legal Writing and Research</b>

**PUBLICATIONS**

**Articles: The Investigation Narrative: An Argument for Limiting Prosecution Evidence, 101 Iowa Law Review \_\_ (forthcoming).**

**Tests for Harm in Criminal Cases: A Fix for Blurred Lines, 17 University of Pennsylvania Journal of Constitutional Law 991 (2015).**

**Ethical Guidance for Standby Counsel in Criminal Cases: A Far Cry from Counsel?, 50 American Criminal Law Review 211 (2013).**

**Prosecution Use of Estoppel and Related Doctrines in Criminal Cases: Promoting Consistency, Tolerating Inconsistency, 64 Rutgers Law Review 409 (2012).**

Convictions Based on Lies: Defining Due Process Protection, 116 Penn State Law Review 331

(2011).

Experience-Based Opinion Testimony: Strengthening The Lay Opinion Rule, 39 Pepperdine Law Review 551 (2012) (*reprinted in the Defense Law Review* (2013)).

Conflicts of Interest in Criminal Cases: Should the Prosecution Have a Duty to Disclose?, 47 American Criminal Law Review 1135 (2010).

Government Appeals in Criminal Cases: The Myth of Asymmetry, 77 Cincinnati Law Review 1 (2008).

Credibility: A Fair Subject for Expert Testimony?, 59 Florida Law Review 991 (2007).

Strengthening the Criminal Defendant's Right to Counsel, 28 Cardozo Law Review 1213 (2006).

Double Jeopardy and Multiple Punishment: Cutting the Gordian Knot, 77 Colorado Law Review 595 (2006).

Double Jeopardy Protection from Successive Prosecution: A Proposed Approach, 92 Georgetown Law Journal 1183 (2004).

Criminal Justice and Videoconferencing Technology: The Remote Defendant, 78 Tulane Law Review 1089 (2004).

Party Admissions in Criminal Cases: Should the Government Have to Eat its Words?, 87 Minnesota Law Review 401 (2002).

Prosecutorial Inconsistency, Estoppel and Due Process: Making the Prosecution Get its Story Straight, 89 California Law Review 1423 (2001).

The Role of Standby Counsel in Criminal Cases: In the Twilight Zone of the Criminal Justice System, 75 New York University Law Review 676 (2000).

The Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege after Jaffee v. Redmond: Where Do We Go From Here?, 76 Washington Univ. Law Quarterly 1341 (1998).

The Plain Feel Doctrine and the Evolution of the Fourth Amendment, 42 Villanova Law Review 741 (1997).

Prosecutorial Discretion and Selective Prosecution: Enforcing Protection after United States v. Armstrong, 34 American Criminal Law Review 1071 (1997).

Female Delinquents: Defining Their Place in the Justice System, 1996 Wisconsin Law Review 543 (1996).

Double Jeopardy and Judicial Accountability: When is an Acquittal not an Acquittal?, 27 Arizona State Law Journal 953 (1995).

The Limits of Double Jeopardy: A Course into the Dark?,  
39 Villanova Law Review 627 (1994).

The Jury: The Criminal Justice System's Different Voice,  
62 Cincinnati Law Review 1377 (1994).

The Fourth Amendment: Elusive Standards, Elusive Review,  
67 Chicago-Kent Law Review 127 (1992).

Double Jeopardy Protection Against Successive Prosecutions in Complex Criminal Cases: A Model, 25 Connecticut Law Review 95 (1992).

Double Jeopardy: Grady and Dowling Stir the Muddy Waters,  
43 Rutgers Law Review 889 (1991).

Supervision of the Grand Jury: Who Watches the Guardian,  
68 Washington Univ. Law Quarterly 301 (1990).

Collateral Estoppel in Criminal Cases: Reuse of Evidence After Acquittal,  
58 Cincinnati Law Review 1 (1989).

Evidentiary Use of Silence and the Constitutional Privilege Against Self-Incrimination,  
52 George Washington Law Review 191 (1984).

Illegitimacy and Family Privacy: A Note on Maternal Cooperation in Paternity Suits,  
70 Northwestern Law Review 910 (1976).

**Book:** L. Packel and A. Poulin, Pennsylvania Evidence, 4th Edition (West 2013).

United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Model Criminal Jury Instructions (2009) (co-reporter with J. Shellenberger).

**Miscellaneous:** Introduction to Symposium: New Voices on the Drug Wars,  
47 Villanova Law Review 101 (2002).

Plain Feel Doctrine, included in the Encyclopedia of the American Constitution,  
Supplement II (1999).

Introduction to Symposium on RICO,  
35 Villanova Law Review 853 (1990).

State Action and Waiver Implications of Self-Help Repossession,  
25 Maine Law Review 27 (1973).

The Rights of the Person Acquitted by Reason of Insanity: Equal Protection and Due Process, 24 Maine Law Review 135 (1972).

APPOINTMENTS

**Co-Reporter, Committee on Model Criminal Jury Instructions for the Third Circuit (2004-present).**

**Chair, ABA Death Penalty Moratorium Project for Pennsylvania (2005-07).**

**Commissioner, National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law (1998-2005);  
Member, NCCUSL Drafting Committee on Uniform Interstate Enforcement of Domestic  
Violence Orders.**

**Faculty Liaison, J. Willard O'Brien Villanova American Inn of Court (2000 – present).**