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Low Calorie Sweeteners and Healthy Lifestyle: Do They Fit Together?

Objectives:
1. Examine recent controversies involving the use of low calorie sweeteners.
2. Understand the science regarding the impact of no and low calorie sweeteners on hunger, appetite and diet quality.
3. Describe the safety evaluation process and determination of the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) for a low calorie sweetener.
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Berna Magnuson, PhD, ATS Fellow

HEALTH SCIENCE CONSULTANTS

2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans

- Recommended reduction in intake of added sugars to <10% total daily calories.
- Noted that available scientific evidence demonstrates that FDA-approved no calorie sweeteners are safe for the general population.

Do they fit into a "healthy" lifestyle?

- yes
- no
- maybe

Objectives:

- Identify & examine recent controversies.
- Understand science regarding impact of no and low calorie sweeteners (LCS) on hunger, appetite & diet quality.
- Describe the safety evaluation process & determination of the ADI for a LCS.

Lead to weight gain?

Increase sweet cravings?

Make you fat?

Alter gut microflora?
Lets start with safety...

Safety = absence of risk

Risk = Hazard (Potential to cause harm) \times Exposure

Hazard (Potential to cause harm)

Can have acceptable Risk with High hazard – Low Exposure

Risk = Hazard \times Exposure

If low hazard, can have higher exposure –

Risk = Exposure (dose)

Drinking Too Much Water Can Kill: People can and do drink themselves to death.

6L FOR 165lbs PERSON
IMPORTANT:
For ALL chemicals - natural and man-made

There is a dose:
• that can cause toxicity,
And-
• that is safe - does not cause an adverse effect.

Hazard evaluation of LCS
• A extensive number of toxicology tests required
• What happens to the compound when we consume it?
• Toxicity following long-term consumption?
• Any effect on mutations or cancer development?
• Reproductive toxicity?
  • before and during pregnancy
• Teratogenicity – effect on development?
• Also human clinical studies
  • effect on blood sugar or insulin?

Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)
Established by Regulatory Agencies
• Results from safety studies used to establish the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL)
  • amount consumed every day without adverse effect
• Divide by “safety factors” to account for
  • differences between individuals
  • differences between humans and animals

NOAEL/safety factors = ADI
(amount/kg body weight/day)

www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/.../1150.pdf

Acceptable Daily Intake

| 4000 mg/kg/d | No observed effect level in lifetime |

| 100 fold safety factor |

Amount considered safe to consume every day 40 mg/kg/d

Human studies
Clinical studies conducted for all LCS
• submitted to regulatory agencies confirming safety of long term LCS consumption at = or > ADI.
Diabetics specifically studied –
• no effect on blood sugar or insulin.
Also epidemiology studies have confirmed safety of use.

See reviews: Magnuson et al., 2007; Grotz and Munro 2009; Arnold et al., 1983; Carakostas et al., 2008
Are LCS Safe for pregnant women? Children?
Yes, for approved sweeteners!

This question MUST be answered in safety testing before sweetener is approved for use in foods and beverages! ADI applies to entire population.

Safety = absence of risk

Risk = Hazard (Potential to cause harm) x Exposure

Must also consider exposure

Exposure

- Using food intake surveys, regulatory agency estimate possible intakes.
- Agencies set Maximum Permissible Levels (MPL) in foods & beverages.

MPLs in different foods and beverages ensure low probability of exceeding ADI.

Sweetness Intensity
Means Low Intake

http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/FoodAdditivesIngredients/ucm094211.htm

Sweetness Intensity* To replace 25 g of sugar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sweetener</th>
<th>Sweetness Intensity*</th>
<th>To replace 25 g of sugar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acesulfame K Aspartame</td>
<td>~ 200 x</td>
<td>125 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharin</td>
<td>~ 300 x</td>
<td>80 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steviol glycosides</td>
<td>200 - 300 x</td>
<td>80-125 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucralose</td>
<td>~ 600 x</td>
<td>40 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* compared to sugar

ADI expressed as servings for adult (70 kg) each day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ace K</th>
<th>Aspartame</th>
<th>Sucralose</th>
<th>Stevia Glycosides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADI mg/kg body wt</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4 as steviol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings of packets/day</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servings of sodas/day</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on 12 oz or 350 ml;
http://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/FoodAdditivesIngredients/ucm39725.htm

NOEL vs ADI vs Consumer Consumption of Aspartame

NOEL vs ADI vs Consumer Consumption of Aspartame

US ADI

High user ~15 mg/kg/d

Average user 2-5 mg/kg/d

Magnuson et al., 2007

Magnuson et al., 2007

No observed effect level in lifetime studies

High level of safety
So if these sweeteners are safe, why is there so much controversy?

In many cases, controversy because:

- Inappropriate extrapolations;
- Experimental protocol not physiologically relevant;
- Associations in observational studies interpreted as causation;
- Controversies make good news stories.

Source of Controversy:
- Inappropriate extrapolations
  - Example: Study on artificial sweeteners and gut microbiota
  - Sweeteners tested in mouse study:
    - aspartame, saccharin, sucralose,
    - very high doses used,
    - microflora actually not evaluated.
  - Remaining experiments only use saccharin - extrapolate results to all “artificial sweeteners”.
  - Suez et al., 2014

Saccharin
- Small molecule;
- Majority absorbed, excreted in urine;
- With high doses, some to colon; excreted in feces.
- Effect of high doses on gut microflora reported in ’80s, extensive investigation followed.
- Many others: none mentioned in Nature paper.

Sucralose
- Structure similar to sugar, disaccharide with 3 Cl
- Cannot be digested into monosaccharides – no impact on blood glucose.
- Extensive studies conducted; gut microflora unable to hydrolyse sucralose.
  - Grice and Goldsmith, 2000
Aspartame

2 amino acids & methyl group

- Aspartic acid (aspartate)
- Phenylalanine

Not absorbed intact.

Completely digested into these components which are all commonly found in foods!

Magnuson et al., 2007

Steviol glycosides

Many different glycosides; Varying glucose number & position

- Reb A – sweetest; 4 glucose units
- Stevioside – 2 glucose units

- Extensive metabolism by colonic gut microflora, to common metabolite, steviol.
- Is why ADI expressed as "steviol equivalents".

Carakostas et al., 2008

Source of Controversy:
- Experimental design

Example: Same study on gut microbiota

- Ignored the fact that the LCS dosing protocol resulted in significant changes in total diet intake and diet composition.
- Difference in intake of other nutrients known to affect microflora!

LCS added to drinking water, increased liquid intake, and significant reduction in food: >50% in saccharin group!

Results in significant changes in diet, not just LCS!

Not physiologically relevant to human consumption

Note: liquid & food intake only for 4/20 mice/group and 72 hr of 11 weeks.

Controversy: LCS and weight

Based on observations of positive correlations between LCS consumption and body weight and/or BMI.

Cause or result?

Association does not establish CAUSE!

Take Home Messages:

Observations with one LCS not applicable to all.

Must consider if experimental protocol valid – where other variables controlled?

For critical review of Nature study See: Calorie Control Council webpage

http://www.caloriecontrol.org/pressrelease/council-spokesperson-berna-magnuson-reviews-nature-study-on-low-calorie-sweeteners-

Controversy: LCS and Appetite or Hunger

• Most studies and reviews conclude that LCS do not affect appetite or hunger or desire for sweetness.

• RCTs that measured hunger and food choices demonstrate either no or possible beneficial effect.

RCT Weight Loss and weight maintenance:

Greater success with diet beverages (DB) compared to water

• 303 overweight and obese M&F

• 12-week weight loss, 9 mo maintain

• Diet Bev (DB) or Water (W) only

• Weight loss greater with DB:
  - DB 6 kg, W 4 kg,
  - Lost >5% body wt greater with DB;
  - DB 64%, W 43%

• Weight loss maintenance improved in DB.

Peters et al., Obesity, 2014
Peters et al., Obesity, 2016

Meta-Analysis: Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) and Prospective Cohort Studies on LCS and Body Weight

15 RCTs and 9 prospective trials analyzed

- RCTs: LCS associated with reduced body weight, BMI, fat mass and waist circumference.

- Prospective: mixed, modest or no association with increase.

Conclusion: RCT demonstrate that substituting LCS for sugar modestly reduces body weight, BMI, fat mass, and waist circumference.

Miller and Perez AJCN 2014
LCS use in successful weight loss maintainers

Surveyed 434 National Weight Control Registry members: (lost ≥13.6 kg and maintained weight loss for ≥1 yr).

• 53% regularly consume LCS beverages,
• 78% say diet beverages help them control total calories,
• Changing patterns of beverage consumption very important for many for:
  • weight loss (42%)  
  • maintenance (40%).

Catenacci et al., 2014, Obesity.

Controversy: LCS and Diet Quality

Concern: "Use of sweet, yet non-caloric LCS, might confuse the body, provoke increased appetite for sweet foods, reduce overall diet quality and contribute to weight gain."

Addressed in study by Drewnowski and Rehm

Food intakes:
  • 22,231 adults from 5 cycles NHANES (1999-2008),
  • Single 24-hour recall,
  • Compared overall diet quality of LCS consumers and nonconsumers
    • LCS beverages & foods and tabletop sweeteners).
  • Diet quality = Healthy Eating Index 2005 (HEI 2005)*
  • Other health behaviors assessed
    • physical activity, smoking and alcohol use


LCS consumers have better diets

Drewnowski and Rehm, 2014 Nutrients

WHAT DOES THIS ALL MEAN?

Center for Public Health Nutrition, University of Washington

Conclusions

• Hundreds of studies carefully reviewed by regulatory agency experts world wide confirm LCS safety at approved use levels.
• Journal reports, not subject to same rigor, have lead to controversies.
• Understanding of safety assessments, chemistry and metabolism of LCS facilitates ability to address controversies.

Conclusion: Do LCS fit into a “healthy” lifestyle?

✔ yes
☐ no
☐ maybe
Thank you!

Questions?
berna@bernamagnuson.com
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